

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,477	01/21/2005		Wenping Wu	10254.204-US	7706	
25908	7590	09/25/2006		EXAMINER		
NOVOZYN 500 FIFTH A		RTH AMERICA,	RAGHU, GANAPATHIRAM			
	SUITE 1600				PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10110				1652		

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/500,477	WU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ganapathirama Raghu	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2005.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☒ Claim(s) 14-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li></ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 14-24 are pending in this application.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I. Claims 14-21, drawn to a composition comprising at least two thermostable enzymes from the group consisting of endoglucanase, xylanase, phytase, protease, galactanase, mannanase, dextranase, and alpha-galactosidase, wherein each of the thermostable enzymes has a melting temperature, Tm, of at least 70°C, as determined by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) at a PH in the interval of 5.0 to 7.0 and said composition is an animal fed additive.

Group I contains claim directed to the following patentably distinct species/combinations of the claimed invention :

- (i) endoglucanase and xylanase,
- (ii) endogluocanase and protease,
- (iii) endoglucanase, xylanase and phytase,
- (iv) endoglucanase, xylanase and protease;

Application/Control Number: 10/500,477

Art Unit: 1652

(v) endoglucanase, xylanase, phytase and protease,

- (vi) xylanase and phytase,
- (vii) xylanase and protease,
- (viii) phytase and protease,
- (ix) phytase, protease and galactanase,
- (x) xylanase, phytase and protease,
- (xi) xylanase, protease and galactanase,
- (xii) phytase and galactanase,
- (xiii) galactanase and protease,
- (xiv) phytase, galactanase and alpha-galactosidase,
- (xv) phytase and alpha-galactosidase,
- (xvi) protease and alpha-galactosidase,
- (xvii) galactanase and alpha-galactosidase,
- (xviii) galactanase, protease and alpha-galactosidase,
- (xix) endoglucanase, phytase
- (xx) endoglucanase, galactanase
- (xxi) xylanase, galactanase
- (xxii) galactanase, endoglucanase, xylanase
- (xxiii) galactanase, xylanase, phytase
- (xxiv) endoglucanase, phytase, galactanase
- (xxv) endoglucanase, xylanase, phytase and galactanase

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species/combination from among the above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 14-15 and 17-21 are generic. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Election of Sequence

Group I species (i)-(v), (xix), (xx) and (xxiv)-(xxv) contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the various sequences recited in

Application/Control Number: 10/500,477

Art Unit: 1652

the claims (polynucleotide sequences with SEQ ID NOs: 1 and 17 and amino acid sequences with SEQ ID NOs: 2 and 18). Furthermore these sequences have different structure and function.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 to elect a single appropriate disclosed species i.e., a single SEQ ID NO: a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide associated with the respective group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims are restricted. Note that this is a restriction requirement to sequence and NOT a species election.

MPEP 803.04 states: Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions with the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141et seq. It has been determined that 1(ONE) sequence constitutes a reasonable number for examination purposes under the present conditions. At present the huge number of submissions of claims directed to various sequences, such as nucleic acids or polypeptides, is so large that the election of sequence of this type is now deemed to be practically appropriate so as to not overwhelm the examination and search processes for such claims. Examination will be restricted to only the elected group and the elected amino acid /nucleotide sequence.

Group II. Claims 22-28, method for treatment of vegetable proteins comprising the step of adding the elected composition of group I.

Group III. Claim 22-28, method for improving the nutritional value of an animal feed comprising the step of adding the elected composition of group I.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical features linking the inventions of Group I-III appears to be that they all relate to composition comprising at least two thermostable enzymes, said composition added to animal feed to improve the nutritional value. Bhat et al., (Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, pages 204-221), disclose compositions comprising thermostable cellulases and xylanases and the use of said composition in animal feeds.

Therefore the technical features linking the inventions of Group I-III does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Accordingly, Groups I-III are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Rejoinder of restricted inventions

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found

Art Unit: 1652

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitation of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitation of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 C.F.R. 1.312.

In the event of a rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.104. thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet the criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. 103(b), 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or otherwise include the limitation of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. 804.01.

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ganapathirama Raghu whose telephone number is 571-272-4533. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ganapathirama Raghu, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1652

August 18, 2006.

PRINCIPY EXAMINED
GROUP 1890